



Planning Commission

Agenda

Study Session

May 14, 2018

Noon

Lausmann Annex, Room 151
200 South Ivy Street, Medford, Oregon

10. Introductions
20. Discussion items
 - 20.1 **DCA-17-111 Senate Bill 1051 Code Amendments**
30. Adjournment

Meeting locations are generally accessible to persons with disabilities. To request interpreters for hearing impaired or other accommodations for persons with disabilities, please contact the ADA Coordinator at (541) 774-2074 or ada@cityofmedford.org at least three business days prior to the meeting to ensure availability. For TTY, dial 711 or (800) 735-1232.



MEMORANDUM

Subject Senate Bill 1051 Code Amendments
File no. DCA-17-111
To Planning Commission *for May 14, 2018 study session*
From Seth Adams, Planner III
Date May 9, 2018

Direction Sought

Staff is asking the Planning Commission for direction on the following:

- 1) Identify any additional changes to be made to the proposal
- 2) Should this amendment include interim design standards?

Overview

As directed on April 26, 2018 by the Medford City Council, staff is proceeding with code amendments that will address revisions to Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) related to the provision of housing. Those ORS revisions were brought about through the passage of Senate Bill 1051 last August. In its review of the proposed text amendments at its April 26, 2018 study session, the City Council recognized the need for the amendments pursuant to the requirements of SB 1051, and directed staff to seek input from the Planning Commission as well as the Site Plan and Architectural Commission before bringing the final draft text to Council for adoption. The Council inquired if the amendment could include interim design standards for multi-family developments.

Background

Senate Bill 1051 (SB 1051) was signed into law on August 15, 2017. The objective of the bill is to increase the supply of housing in the state by:

- Removing barriers to development at the local level (ORS 227.175)
- Expediting permitting for affordable housing projects (ORS 227.178)
- Increasing options for the development of accessory dwelling units (ADUs) (ORS 197.312)
- Allowing religious organizations to build affordable housing on their property within residential zones (ORS 227.500)

In order to bring its code into compliance with SB 1051, the City needs to make minor amendments to Articles I and II of the Medford Land Development Code (MLDC). The necessary amendments include a modified appeal process for certain types of affordable housing projects, and placing limitations on the discretionary review of residential development applications. The City's code already complies with the components of the bill associated with Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) and the provision of housing on property legally used for religious activities (i.e. places of worship). Accessory Dwelling Units are already permitted within single family residential zones, and have been for a number of years. Places of worship are already allowed in all residential zones (subject to approval of a CUP), and as such the addition of residential units would be permitted subject to the development standards of the zoning district.

Proposed Code Amendments

The proposed amendments are attached for review, along with the full text of SB 1051. The following explains why each of the proposed text amendments is necessary.

100 Day Final Action Timeline

ORS 227.178 (*Final Action on Certain Applications Required Within 120 Days*) requires cities to take final action (including resolution of all appeals) on land use applications within 120 days of the application being deemed complete – an aggressive timeline that can be challenging to meet given the required public notice and appeal periods. One of the most significant provisions of SB 1051 is the stipulation that cities with populations greater than 5,000 must now take final action on **qualifying** residential development applications within 100 days after the application is deemed complete. (A SPAC application is typically decided in 100 days from the date of submittal)

Under SB 1051 an application qualifies for final action within 100 days if:

- The application is for development of a multifamily residential building containing five or more residential units within the urban growth boundary;
- At least 50 percent of the residential units included in the development will be sold or rented as affordable housing*; and
- The development is subject to a covenant appurtenant that restricts the owner and each successive owner of the development or a residential unit within the development from selling or renting any affordable residential unit as housing that is not affordable housing* for a period of 60 years from the date of the certificate of occupancy.

*Affordable housing is defined in SB 1051 as being “...housing that is affordable to household with incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of the median family

income for the county in which the development is built or for the state, whichever is greater.”

Applications for multifamily residential projects are reviewed and acted upon by the Site Plan and Architectural Commission (SPAC), and decisions rendered by SPAC are appealable to the City Council. In order to ensure that the 100 day final action timeline can be met, staff is proposing to amend §10.051.A of the MLDC to state that SPAC actions shall be considered final when they involve residential development projects that meet the criteria for final action within 100 days, and that any appeal of such actions shall be made directly to the State Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA). While this amendment would remove a level of local control over land use decisions, the qualifying criteria noted above are so stringent that staff does not anticipate any appreciable number of applications will qualify for the reduced final action timeline. In addition, it would not be desirable to have a decision rendered as final if the 100 day timeline is not met for any reason as the project is likely to wind up at the LUBA anyways.

Residential Development Review Criteria

SB 1051 also made amendments to ORS 227.175 (*Application for Permit or Zone Change*) that affect existing sections of the MLDC. Pursuant to §10.290 of the MLDC, the SPAC shall approve a site plan and architectural review application if it finds that the development conforms, or can be made to conform through the imposition of conditions, with the following two criteria:

1. The proposed development is compatible with uses and development that exist on adjacent land; and
2. The proposed development complies with the applicable provisions of all city ordinances or the Site Plan and Architectural Commission has approved (an) exception(s) as provided in MLDC Section 10.253.

SB 1051 amended ORS 227.175 to state that:

“A city may not deny an application for a housing development located within the urban growth boundary if the development complies with clear and objective standards, including but not limited to clear and objective design standards contained in the city comprehensive plan or land use regulations.”

While staff is working on the development of clear and objective design standards as part of the housing amendments that were recently recommended by the Housing Advisory Committee, the MLDC does not currently contain design standards, and as such the compatibility criterion can no longer be applied to any housing development application that complies with development standards in Article IV (e.g. density, setbacks, building

height, etc.). As such, staff is proposing a text amendment stating that all residential development projects shall be approved if they comply with the applicable city ordinances, or if the SPAC has approved an exception. Commercial and industrial development applications would still be subject to both of the review criteria.

In addition, SB 1051 further amended ORS 227.175 to state that a city may not reduce the height or density of an application for development projects if:

- The density and/or height applied for is at the authorized levels under the land use regulations; and
- At least 75 percent of the floor area applied for is reserved for housing.

Staff is therefore proposing to amend §10.291 (*Conditions of Approval*) of the MLDC to explicitly prohibit reductions in density and/or height on mixed-use development projects meeting the above criteria.

Effective Dates of Senate Bill

The 100 day final action timeline provision of SB 1051 became effective on the date the Bill was signed into law by the Governor (August 15, 2017). The provisions regarding residential development review criteria become operative on July 1, 2018.

NEXT STEPS

The tentative hearing schedule would include the following dates: SPAC introduction to the proposal on May 18, the first evidentiary hearing with Planning Commission on June 14, and a City Council hearing on July 19.

Attachments

- A. Draft code amendment text
- B. Copy of approved Senate Bill 1051 (with applicable sections highlighted)

ARTICLE I - GENERAL PROVISIONS

* * *

10.051 Appeals.

A. Any person with standing may appeal to the City Council any Type "C" or "D" decision of an approving authority (Planning Commission, Site Plan and Architectural Commission, Landmarks and Historic Preservation Commission, and Planning Director) which approves conditionally, approves, or disapproves a development permit, or plan authorization, as per Section 10.102, Plan Authorizations, of this chapter, by filing a written notice together with the requisite filing fee with the city recorder within fourteen (14) days after notice of the development permit or plan authorization approval or disapproval by the approving authority is mailed.

1. Exceptions: Site Plan and Architectural Commission actions shall be considered final when involving a residential development that: (1) contains five or more residential units; (2) will sell or rent at least 50 percent of the residential units as housing that is affordable to households with incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of the median family income for the county in which the development is built or for the state, whichever is greater; and (3) is subject to a covenant appurtenance restricting the owner and each successive owner of the development (or a residential unit within the development) from selling or renting any affordable residential unit within the development as housing that is not affordable for a period of 60 years from the date of the certificate of occupancy. Any appeal of actions involving such projects shall be made directly to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

B. A person has standing if the person: (1) appeared in the initial proceedings orally or in writing; and (2) was entitled to a right of notice and hearing prior to the decision to be reviewed, or is aggrieved by the decision, or has interests adversely affected by the decision.

C. –Class “E” Ministerial Decisions are final and, with the exception of Final PUD Plan applications (see 10.241(E)), are not appealable under the Medford Land Development Code or any other provision of the Medford Code.

* * *

ARTICLE II - PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

* * *

10.290 Site Plan and Architectural Review Criteria.

A. The Site Plan and Architectural Commission shall approve a site plan and architectural review application for a commercial or industrial development, if it can find that the proposed development conforms, or can be made to conform through the imposition of conditions, with the following criteria:

- (1) The proposed development is compatible with uses and development that exist on adjacent land, and
- (2) The proposed development complies with the applicable provisions of all city ordinances or the Site Plan and Architectural Commission has approved (an) exception(s) as provided in MLDC Section 10.253.

B. The Site Plan and Architectural Commission shall approve a site plan and architectural review application for a residential development if the proposed development complies with the

applicable provisions of all city ordinances, or if the Site Plan and Architectural Commission has approved (an) exception(s), as provided in MLDC Section 10.253, that resolve(s) any instances of non-compliance with those provisions.

10.291 Conditions of Approval.

A. In approving a site plan and architectural review application for a commercial, industrial, or mixed-use development, and with the exception of the limitations specified below in Subsection 10.291(B), the Site Plan and Architectural Commission may impose, in addition to those standards expressly specified in this code, conditions determined to be reasonably necessary to ensure compliance with the standards of the code and the applicable criteria in Section 10.290, and to otherwise protect the health, safety and general welfare of the surrounding area and community as a whole. These conditions may include, but are not limited to the following:

- (1) Limiting the number, height, location and size of signs;
- (2) Requiring the installation of appropriate public facilities and services and dedication of land to accommodate public facilities when needed;
- (3) Limiting the visibility of mechanical equipment through screening or other appropriate measures;
- (4) Requiring the installation or modification of irrigated landscaping, walls, fences or other methods of screening and buffering;
- (5) Limiting or altering the location, height, bulk, configuration or setback of buildings, structures and improvements.
- (6) Requiring the improvement of an existing, dedicated alley which will be used for ingress or egress for a development;
- (7) Controlling the number and location of parking and loading facilities, points of ingress and egress and providing for the internal circulation of motorized vehicles, bicycles, public transit and pedestrians;
- (8) Requiring the retention of existing natural features;
- (9) Modifying architectural design elements including exterior construction materials and their colors, roofline, fenestration and restricting openings in the exterior walls of structures;
- (10) Restricting the height, directional orientation and intensity of exterior lighting.

B. In approving a site plan and architectural review application for a mixed-use development where at least 75 percent of the floor area is reserved for housing, the Site Plan and Architectural Commission may not require the development density or the building height to be reduced if the density and/or building height applied for is at or below the maximums permitted within the underlying zoning district.

* * *

**Enrolled
Senate Bill 1051**

Sponsored by COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND TRANSPORTATION

CHAPTER

AN ACT

Relating to use of real property; creating new provisions; amending ORS 197.178, 197.303, 197.307, 197.312, 215.416, 215.427, 215.441, 227.175, 227.178 and 227.500; and declaring an emergency.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. (1) As used in this section:

(a) "Affordable housing" means housing that is affordable to households with incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of the median family income for the county in which the development is built or for the state, whichever is greater.

(b) "Multifamily residential building" means a building in which three or more residential units each have space for eating, living and sleeping and permanent provisions for cooking and sanitation.

(2) Notwithstanding ORS 215.427 (1) or ORS 227.178 (1), a city with a population greater than 5,000 or a county with a population greater than 25,000 shall take final action on an application qualifying under subsection (3) of this section, including resolution of all local appeals under ORS 215.422 or 227.180, within 100 days after the application is deemed complete.

(3) An application qualifies for final action within the timeline described in subsection (2) of this section if:

(a) The application is submitted to the city or the county under ORS 215.416 or 227.175;

(b) The application is for development of a multifamily residential building containing five or more residential units within the urban growth boundary;

(c) At least 50 percent of the residential units included in the development will be sold or rented as affordable housing; and

(d) The development is subject to a covenant appurtenant that restricts the owner and each successive owner of the development or a residential unit within the development from selling or renting any residential unit described in paragraph (c) of this subsection as housing that is not affordable housing for a period of 60 years from the date of the certificate of occupancy.

(4) A city or a county shall take final action within the time allowed under ORS 215.427 or 227.178 on any application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change that does not qualify for review and decision under subsection (3) of this section, including resolution of all appeals under ORS 215.422 or 227.180, as provided by ORS 215.427 and 215.435 or by ORS 227.178 and 227.181.

SECTION 2. ORS 215.416 is amended to read:

215.416. (1) When required or authorized by the ordinances, rules and regulations of a county, an owner of land may apply in writing to such persons as the governing body designates, for a permit, in the manner prescribed by the governing body. The governing body shall establish fees charged for processing permits at an amount no more than the actual or average cost of providing that service.

(2) The governing body shall establish a consolidated procedure by which an applicant may apply at one time for all permits or zone changes needed for a development project. The consolidated procedure shall be subject to the time limitations set out in ORS 215.427. The consolidated procedure shall be available for use at the option of the applicant no later than the time of the first periodic review of the comprehensive plan and land use regulations.

(3) Except as provided in subsection (11) of this section, the hearings officer shall hold at least one public hearing on the application.

(4)(a) *[The application shall not be approved]* **A county may not approve an application** if the proposed use of land is found to be in conflict with the comprehensive plan of the county and other applicable land use regulation or ordinance provisions. The approval may include such conditions as are authorized by statute or county legislation.

(b)(A) A county may not deny an application for a housing development located within the urban growth boundary if the development complies with clear and objective standards, including but not limited to clear and objective design standards contained in the county comprehensive plan or land use regulations.

(B) This paragraph does not apply to:

(i) Applications or permits for residential development in areas described in ORS 197.307 (5); or

(ii) Applications or permits reviewed under an alternative approval process adopted under ORS 197.307 (6).

(c) A county may not reduce the density of an application for a housing development if:

(A) The density applied for is at or below the authorized density level under the local land use regulations; and

(B) At least 75 percent of the floor area applied for is reserved for housing.

(d) A county may not reduce the height of an application for a housing development if:

(A) The height applied for is at or below the authorized height level under the local land use regulations;

(B) At least 75 percent of the floor area applied for is reserved for housing; and

(C) Reducing the height has the effect of reducing the authorized density level under local land use regulations.

(e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (c) and (d) of this subsection, a county may reduce the density or height of an application for a housing development if the reduction is necessary to resolve a health, safety or habitability issue or to comply with a protective measure adopted pursuant to a statewide land use planning goal.

(f) As used in this subsection:

(A) "Authorized density level" means the maximum number of lots or dwelling units or the maximum floor area ratio that is permitted under local land use regulations.

(B) "Authorized height level" means the maximum height of a structure that is permitted under local land use regulations.

(C) "Habitability" means being in compliance with the applicable provisions of the state building code under ORS chapter 455 and the rules adopted thereunder.

(5) Hearings under this section shall be held only after notice to the applicant and also notice to other persons as otherwise provided by law and shall otherwise be conducted in conformance with the provisions of ORS 197.763.

(6) Notice of a public hearing on an application submitted under this section shall be provided to the owner of an airport defined by the Oregon Department of Aviation as a "public use airport" if:

(a) The name and address of the airport owner has been provided by the Oregon Department of Aviation to the county planning authority; and

(b) The property subject to the land use hearing is:

(A) Within 5,000 feet of the side or end of a runway of an airport determined by the Oregon Department of Aviation to be a “visual airport”; or

(B) Within 10,000 feet of the side or end of the runway of an airport determined by the Oregon Department of Aviation to be an “instrument airport.”

(7) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (6) of this section, notice of a land use hearing need not be provided as set forth in subsection (6) of this section if the zoning permit would only allow a structure less than 35 feet in height and the property is located outside the runway “approach surface” as defined by the Oregon Department of Aviation.

(8)(a) Approval or denial of a permit application shall be based on standards and criteria which shall be set forth in the zoning ordinance or other appropriate ordinance or regulation of the county and which shall relate approval or denial of a permit application to the zoning ordinance and comprehensive plan for the area in which the proposed use of land would occur and to the zoning ordinance and comprehensive plan for the county as a whole.

(b) When an ordinance establishing approval standards is required under ORS 197.307 to provide only clear and objective standards, the standards must be clear and objective on the face of the ordinance.

(9) Approval or denial of a permit or expedited land division shall be based upon and accompanied by a brief statement that explains the criteria and standards considered relevant to the decision, states the facts relied upon in rendering the decision and explains the justification for the decision based on the criteria, standards and facts set forth.

(10) Written notice of the approval or denial shall be given to all parties to the proceeding.

(11)(a)(A) The hearings officer or such other person as the governing body designates may approve or deny an application for a permit without a hearing if the hearings officer or other designated person gives notice of the decision and provides an opportunity for any person who is adversely affected or aggrieved, or who is entitled to notice under paragraph (c) of this subsection, to file an appeal.

(B) Written notice of the decision shall be mailed to those persons described in paragraph (c) of this subsection.

(C) Notice under this subsection shall comply with ORS 197.763 (3)(a), (c), (g) and (h) and shall describe the nature of the decision. In addition, the notice shall state that any person who is adversely affected or aggrieved or who is entitled to written notice under paragraph (c) of this subsection may appeal the decision by filing a written appeal in the manner and within the time period provided in the county’s land use regulations. A county may not establish an appeal period that is less than 12 days from the date the written notice of decision required by this subsection was mailed. The notice shall state that the decision will not become final until the period for filing a local appeal has expired. The notice also shall state that a person who is mailed written notice of the decision cannot appeal the decision directly to the Land Use Board of Appeals under ORS 197.830.

(D) An appeal from a hearings officer’s decision made without hearing under this subsection shall be to the planning commission or governing body of the county. An appeal from such other person as the governing body designates shall be to a hearings officer, the planning commission or the governing body. In either case, the appeal shall be to a de novo hearing.

(E) The de novo hearing required by subparagraph (D) of this paragraph shall be the initial evidentiary hearing required under ORS 197.763 as the basis for an appeal to the Land Use Board of Appeals. At the de novo hearing:

(i) The applicant and other parties shall have the same opportunity to present testimony, arguments and evidence as they would have had in a hearing under subsection (3) of this section before the decision;

(ii) The presentation of testimony, arguments and evidence shall not be limited to issues raised in a notice of appeal; and

(iii) The decision maker shall consider all relevant testimony, arguments and evidence that are accepted at the hearing.

(b) If a local government provides only a notice of the opportunity to request a hearing, the local government may charge a fee for the initial hearing. The maximum fee for an initial hearing shall be the cost to the local government of preparing for and conducting the appeal, or \$250, whichever is less. If an appellant prevails at the hearing or upon subsequent appeal, the fee for the initial hearing shall be refunded. The fee allowed in this paragraph shall not apply to appeals made by neighborhood or community organizations recognized by the governing body and whose boundaries include the site.

(c)(A) Notice of a decision under paragraph (a) of this subsection shall be provided to the applicant and to the owners of record of property on the most recent property tax assessment roll where such property is located:

(i) Within 100 feet of the property that is the subject of the notice when the subject property is wholly or in part within an urban growth boundary;

(ii) Within 250 feet of the property that is the subject of the notice when the subject property is outside an urban growth boundary and not within a farm or forest zone; or

(iii) Within 750 feet of the property that is the subject of the notice when the subject property is within a farm or forest zone.

(B) Notice shall also be provided to any neighborhood or community organization recognized by the governing body and whose boundaries include the site.

(C) At the discretion of the applicant, the local government also shall provide notice to the Department of Land Conservation and Development.

(12) A decision described in ORS 215.402 (4)(b) shall:

(a) Be entered in a registry available to the public setting forth:

(A) The street address or other easily understood geographic reference to the subject property;

(B) The date of the decision; and

(C) A description of the decision made.

(b) Be subject to the jurisdiction of the Land Use Board of Appeals in the same manner as a limited land use decision.

(c) Be subject to the appeal period described in ORS 197.830 (5)(b).

(13) At the option of the applicant, the local government shall provide notice of the decision described in ORS 215.402 (4)(b) in the manner required by ORS 197.763 (2), in which case an appeal to the board shall be filed within 21 days of the decision. The notice shall include an explanation of appeal rights.

(14) Notwithstanding the requirements of this section, a limited land use decision shall be subject to the requirements set forth in ORS 197.195 and 197.828.

SECTION 3. ORS 227.175 is amended to read:

227.175. (1) When required or authorized by a city, an owner of land may apply in writing to the hearings officer, or such other person as the city council designates, for a permit or zone change, upon such forms and in such a manner as the city council prescribes. The governing body shall establish fees charged for processing permits at an amount no more than the actual or average cost of providing that service.

(2) The governing body of the city shall establish a consolidated procedure by which an applicant may apply at one time for all permits or zone changes needed for a development project. The consolidated procedure shall be subject to the time limitations set out in ORS 227.178. The consolidated procedure shall be available for use at the option of the applicant no later than the time of the first periodic review of the comprehensive plan and land use regulations.

(3) Except as provided in subsection (10) of this section, the hearings officer shall hold at least one public hearing on the application.

(4)(a) *[The application shall not be approved]* **A city may not approve an application** unless the proposed development of land would be in compliance with the comprehensive plan for the city and other applicable land use regulation or ordinance provisions. The approval may include such conditions as are authorized by ORS 227.215 or any city legislation.

(b)(A) A city may not deny an application for a housing development located within the urban growth boundary if the development complies with clear and objective standards, including but not limited to clear and objective design standards contained in the city comprehensive plan or land use regulations.

(B) This paragraph does not apply to:

(i) Applications or permits for residential development in areas described in ORS 197.307 (5); or

(ii) Applications or permits reviewed under an alternative approval process adopted under ORS 197.307 (6).

(c) A city may not reduce the density of an application for a housing development if:

(A) The density applied for is at or below the authorized density level under the local land use regulations; and

(B) At least 75 percent of the floor area applied for is reserved for housing.

(d) A city may not reduce the height of an application for a housing development if:

(A) The height applied for is at or below the authorized height level under the local land use regulations;

(B) At least 75 percent of the floor area applied for is reserved for housing; and

(C) Reducing the height has the effect of reducing the authorized density level under local land use regulations.

(e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (c) and (d) of this subsection, a city may reduce the density or height of an application for a housing development if the reduction is necessary to resolve a health, safety or habitability issue or to comply with a protective measure adopted pursuant to a statewide land use planning goal.

(f) As used in this subsection:

(A) "Authorized density level" means the maximum number of lots or dwelling units or the maximum floor area ratio that is permitted under local land use regulations.

(B) "Authorized height level" means the maximum height of a structure that is permitted under local land use regulations.

(C) "Habitability" means being in compliance with the applicable provisions of the state building code under ORS chapter 455 and the rules adopted thereunder.

(5) Hearings under this section may be held only after notice to the applicant and other interested persons and shall otherwise be conducted in conformance with the provisions of ORS 197.763.

(6) Notice of a public hearing on a zone use application shall be provided to the owner of an airport, defined by the Oregon Department of Aviation as a "public use airport" if:

(a) The name and address of the airport owner has been provided by the Oregon Department of Aviation to the city planning authority; and

(b) The property subject to the zone use hearing is:

(A) Within 5,000 feet of the side or end of a runway of an airport determined by the Oregon Department of Aviation to be a "visual airport"; or

(B) Within 10,000 feet of the side or end of the runway of an airport determined by the Oregon Department of Aviation to be an "instrument airport."

(7) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (6) of this section, notice of a zone use hearing need only be provided as set forth in subsection (6) of this section if the permit or zone change would only allow a structure less than 35 feet in height and the property is located outside of the runway "approach surface" as defined by the Oregon Department of Aviation.

(8) If an application would change the zone of property that includes all or part of a mobile home or manufactured dwelling park as defined in ORS 446.003, the governing body shall give written notice by first class mail to each existing mailing address for tenants of the mobile home

or manufactured dwelling park at least 20 days but not more than 40 days before the date of the first hearing on the application. The governing body may require an applicant for such a zone change to pay the costs of such notice.

(9) The failure of a tenant or an airport owner to receive a notice which was mailed shall not invalidate any zone change.

(10)(a)(A) The hearings officer or such other person as the governing body designates may approve or deny an application for a permit without a hearing if the hearings officer or other designated person gives notice of the decision and provides an opportunity for any person who is adversely affected or aggrieved, or who is entitled to notice under paragraph (c) of this subsection, to file an appeal.

(B) Written notice of the decision shall be mailed to those persons described in paragraph (c) of this subsection.

(C) Notice under this subsection shall comply with ORS 197.763 (3)(a), (c), (g) and (h) and shall describe the nature of the decision. In addition, the notice shall state that any person who is adversely affected or aggrieved or who is entitled to written notice under paragraph (c) of this subsection may appeal the decision by filing a written appeal in the manner and within the time period provided in the city's land use regulations. A city may not establish an appeal period that is less than 12 days from the date the written notice of decision required by this subsection was mailed. The notice shall state that the decision will not become final until the period for filing a local appeal has expired. The notice also shall state that a person who is mailed written notice of the decision cannot appeal the decision directly to the Land Use Board of Appeals under ORS 197.830.

(D) An appeal from a hearings officer's decision made without hearing under this subsection shall be to the planning commission or governing body of the city. An appeal from such other person as the governing body designates shall be to a hearings officer, the planning commission or the governing body. In either case, the appeal shall be to a de novo hearing.

(E) The de novo hearing required by subparagraph (D) of this paragraph shall be the initial evidentiary hearing required under ORS 197.763 as the basis for an appeal to the Land Use Board of Appeals. At the de novo hearing:

(i) The applicant and other parties shall have the same opportunity to present testimony, arguments and evidence as they would have had in a hearing under subsection (3) of this section before the decision;

(ii) The presentation of testimony, arguments and evidence shall not be limited to issues raised in a notice of appeal; and

(iii) The decision maker shall consider all relevant testimony, arguments and evidence that are accepted at the hearing.

(b) If a local government provides only a notice of the opportunity to request a hearing, the local government may charge a fee for the initial hearing. The maximum fee for an initial hearing shall be the cost to the local government of preparing for and conducting the appeal, or \$250, whichever is less. If an appellant prevails at the hearing or upon subsequent appeal, the fee for the initial hearing shall be refunded. The fee allowed in this paragraph shall not apply to appeals made by neighborhood or community organizations recognized by the governing body and whose boundaries include the site.

(c)(A) Notice of a decision under paragraph (a) of this subsection shall be provided to the applicant and to the owners of record of property on the most recent property tax assessment roll where such property is located:

(i) Within 100 feet of the property that is the subject of the notice when the subject property is wholly or in part within an urban growth boundary;

(ii) Within 250 feet of the property that is the subject of the notice when the subject property is outside an urban growth boundary and not within a farm or forest zone; or

(iii) Within 750 feet of the property that is the subject of the notice when the subject property is within a farm or forest zone.

(B) Notice shall also be provided to any neighborhood or community organization recognized by the governing body and whose boundaries include the site.

(C) At the discretion of the applicant, the local government also shall provide notice to the Department of Land Conservation and Development.

(11) A decision described in ORS 227.160 (2)(b) shall:

(a) Be entered in a registry available to the public setting forth:

(A) The street address or other easily understood geographic reference to the subject property;

(B) The date of the decision; and

(C) A description of the decision made.

(b) Be subject to the jurisdiction of the Land Use Board of Appeals in the same manner as a limited land use decision.

(c) Be subject to the appeal period described in ORS 197.830 (5)(b).

(12) At the option of the applicant, the local government shall provide notice of the decision described in ORS 227.160 (2)(b) in the manner required by ORS 197.763 (2), in which case an appeal to the board shall be filed within 21 days of the decision. The notice shall include an explanation of appeal rights.

(13) Notwithstanding other requirements of this section, limited land use decisions shall be subject to the requirements set forth in ORS 197.195 and 197.828.

SECTION 4. ORS 197.303 is amended to read:

197.303. (1) As used in ORS 197.307, “needed housing” means **all housing [types] on land zoned for residential use or mixed residential and commercial use that is** determined to meet the need shown for housing within an urban growth boundary at [particular] price ranges and rent levels[, including] **that are affordable to households within the county with a variety of incomes, including but not limited to households with low incomes, very low incomes and extremely low incomes, as those terms are defined by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development under 42 U.S.C. 1437a. “Needed housing” includes [at least]** the following housing types:

(a) Attached and detached single-family housing and multiple family housing for both owner and renter occupancy;

(b) Government assisted housing;

(c) Mobile home or manufactured dwelling parks as provided in ORS 197.475 to 197.490;

(d) Manufactured homes on individual lots planned and zoned for single-family residential use that are in addition to lots within designated manufactured dwelling subdivisions; and

(e) Housing for farmworkers.

(2) Subsection (1)(a) and (d) of this section [shall] **does** not apply to:

(a) A city with a population of less than 2,500.

(b) A county with a population of less than 15,000.

(3) A local government may take an exception under ORS 197.732 to the definition of “needed housing” in subsection (1) of this section in the same manner that an exception may be taken under the goals.

SECTION 5. ORS 197.307 is amended to read:

197.307. (1) The availability of affordable, decent, safe and sanitary housing opportunities for persons of lower, middle and fixed income, including housing for farmworkers, is a matter of state-wide concern.

(2) Many persons of lower, middle and fixed income depend on government assisted housing as a source of affordable, decent, safe and sanitary housing.

(3) When a need has been shown for housing within an urban growth boundary at particular price ranges and rent levels, needed housing shall be permitted in one or more zoning districts or in zones described by some comprehensive plans as overlay zones with sufficient buildable land to satisfy that need.

(4) Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, a local government may adopt and apply only clear and objective standards, conditions and procedures regulating the development of **hous-**

ing, including needed housing [on buildable land described in subsection (3) of this section]. The standards, conditions and procedures:

(a) May include, but are not limited to, one or more provisions regulating the density or height of a development.

(b) May not have the effect, either in themselves or cumulatively, of discouraging needed housing through unreasonable cost or delay.

(5) The provisions of subsection (4) of this section do not apply to:

(a) An application or permit for residential development in an area identified in a formally adopted central city plan, or a regional center as defined by Metro, in a city with a population of 500,000 or more.

(b) An application or permit for residential development in historic areas designated for protection under a land use planning goal protecting historic areas.

(6) In addition to an approval process for needed housing based on clear and objective standards, conditions and procedures as provided in subsection (4) of this section, a local government may adopt and apply an alternative approval process for applications and permits for residential development based on approval criteria regulating, in whole or in part, appearance or aesthetics that are not clear and objective if:

(a) The applicant retains the option of proceeding under the approval process that meets the requirements of subsection (4) of this section;

(b) The approval criteria for the alternative approval process comply with applicable statewide land use planning goals and rules; and

(c) The approval criteria for the alternative approval process authorize a density at or above the density level authorized in the zone under the approval process provided in subsection (4) of this section.

(7) Subject to subsection (4) of this section, this section does not infringe on a local government's prerogative to:

(a) Set approval standards under which a particular housing type is permitted outright;

(b) Impose special conditions upon approval of a specific development proposal; or

(c) Establish approval procedures.

(8) In accordance with subsection (4) of this section and ORS 197.314, a jurisdiction may adopt any or all of the following placement standards, or any less restrictive standard, for the approval of manufactured homes located outside mobile home parks:

(a) The manufactured home shall be multisectional and enclose a space of not less than 1,000 square feet.

(b) The manufactured home shall be placed on an excavated and back-filled foundation and enclosed at the perimeter such that the manufactured home is located not more than 12 inches above grade.

(c) The manufactured home shall have a pitched roof, except that no standard shall require a slope of greater than a nominal three feet in height for each 12 feet in width.

(d) The manufactured home shall have exterior siding and roofing which in color, material and appearance is similar to the exterior siding and roofing material commonly used on residential dwellings within the community or which is comparable to the predominant materials used on surrounding dwellings as determined by the local permit approval authority.

(e) The manufactured home shall be certified by the manufacturer to have an exterior thermal envelope meeting performance standards which reduce levels equivalent to the performance standards required of single-family dwellings constructed under the state building code as defined in ORS 455.010.

(f) The manufactured home shall have a garage or carport constructed of like materials. A jurisdiction may require an attached or detached garage in lieu of a carport where such is consistent with the predominant construction of immediately surrounding dwellings.

(g) In addition to the provisions in paragraphs (a) to (f) of this subsection, a city or county may subject a manufactured home and the lot upon which it is sited to any development standard, ar-

chitectural requirement and minimum size requirement to which a conventional single-family residential dwelling on the same lot would be subject.

SECTION 6. ORS 197.312 is amended to read:

197.312. (1) A city or county may not by charter prohibit from all residential zones attached or detached single-family housing, multifamily housing for both owner and renter occupancy or manufactured homes. A city or county may not by charter prohibit government assisted housing or impose additional approval standards on government assisted housing that are not applied to similar but unassisted housing.

(2)(a) A single-family dwelling for a farmworker and the farmworker's immediate family is a permitted use in any residential or commercial zone that allows single-family dwellings as a permitted use.

(b) A city or county may not impose a zoning requirement on the establishment and maintenance of a single-family dwelling for a farmworker and the farmworker's immediate family in a residential or commercial zone described in paragraph (a) of this subsection that is more restrictive than a zoning requirement imposed on other single-family dwellings in the same zone.

(3)(a) Multifamily housing for farmworkers and farmworkers' immediate families is a permitted use in any residential or commercial zone that allows multifamily housing generally as a permitted use.

(b) A city or county may not impose a zoning requirement on the establishment and maintenance of multifamily housing for farmworkers and farmworkers' immediate families in a residential or commercial zone described in paragraph (a) of this subsection that is more restrictive than a zoning requirement imposed on other multifamily housing in the same zone.

(4) A city or county may not prohibit a property owner or developer from maintaining a real estate sales office in a subdivision or planned community containing more than 50 lots or dwelling units for the sale of lots or dwelling units that remain available for sale to the public.

(5)(a) A city with a population greater than 2,500 or a county with a population greater than 15,000 shall allow in areas zoned for detached single-family dwellings the development of at least one accessory dwelling unit for each detached single-family dwelling, subject to reasonable local regulations relating to siting and design.

(b) As used in this subsection, "accessory dwelling unit" means an interior, attached or detached residential structure that is used in connection with or that is accessory to a single-family dwelling.

SECTION 7. ORS 215.441 is amended to read:

215.441. (1) If a church, synagogue, temple, mosque, chapel, meeting house or other nonresidential place of worship is allowed on real property under state law and rules and local zoning ordinances and regulations, a county shall allow the reasonable use of the real property for activities customarily associated with the practices of the religious activity, including *[worship services, religion classes, weddings, funerals, child care and meal programs, but not including private or parochial school education for prekindergarten through grade 12 or higher education.]*:

(a) Worship services.

(b) Religion classes.

(c) Weddings.

(d) Funerals.

(e) Meal programs.

(f) Child care, but not including private or parochial school education for prekindergarten through grade 12 or higher education.

(g) Providing housing or space for housing in a building that is detached from the place of worship, provided:

(A) At least 50 percent of the residential units provided under this paragraph are affordable to households with incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of the median family income for the county in which the real property is located;

(B) The real property is in an area zoned for residential use that is located within the urban growth boundary; and

(C) The housing or space for housing complies with applicable land use regulations and meets the standards and criteria for residential development for the underlying zone.

(2) A county may:

(a) Subject real property described in subsection (1) of this section to reasonable regulations, including site review or design review, concerning the physical characteristics of the uses authorized under subsection (1) of this section; or

(b) Prohibit or restrict the use of real property by a place of worship described in subsection (1) of this section if the county finds that the level of service of public facilities, including transportation, water supply, sewer and storm drain systems is not adequate to serve the place of worship described in subsection (1) of this section.

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a county may allow a private or parochial school for prekindergarten through grade 12 or higher education to be sited under applicable state law and rules and local zoning ordinances and regulations.

(4) Housing and space for housing provided under subsection (1)(g) of this section must be subject to a covenant appurtenant that restricts the owner and each successive owner of the building or any residential unit contained in the building from selling or renting any residential unit described in subsection (1)(g)(A) of this section as housing that is not affordable to households with incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of the median family income for the county in which the real property is located for a period of 60 years from the date of the certificate of occupancy.

SECTION 8. ORS 227.500 is amended to read:

227.500. (1) If a church, synagogue, temple, mosque, chapel, meeting house or other nonresidential place of worship is allowed on real property under state law and rules and local zoning ordinances and regulations, a city shall allow the reasonable use of the real property for activities customarily associated with the practices of the religious activity, including *[worship services, religion classes, weddings, funerals, child care and meal programs, but not including private or parochial school education for prekindergarten through grade 12 or higher education.]*:

(a) Worship services.

(b) Religion classes.

(c) Weddings.

(d) Funerals.

(e) Meal programs.

(f) Child care, but not including private or parochial school education for prekindergarten through grade 12 or higher education.

(g) Providing housing or space for housing in a building that is detached from the place of worship, provided:

(A) At least 50 percent of the residential units provided under this paragraph are affordable to households with incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of the median family income for the county in which the real property is located;

(B) The real property is in an area zoned for residential use that is located within the urban growth boundary; and

(C) The housing or space for housing complies with applicable land use regulations and meets the standards and criteria for residential development for the underlying zone.

(2) A city may:

(a) Subject real property described in subsection (1) of this section to reasonable regulations, including site review and design review, concerning the physical characteristics of the uses authorized under subsection (1) of this section; or

(b) Prohibit or regulate the use of real property by a place of worship described in subsection (1) of this section if the city finds that the level of service of public facilities, including transporta-

tion, water supply, sewer and storm drain systems is not adequate to serve the place of worship described in subsection (1) of this section.

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a city may allow a private or parochial school for prekindergarten through grade 12 or higher education to be sited under applicable state law and rules and local zoning ordinances and regulations.

(4) Housing and space for housing provided under subsection (1)(g) of this section must be subject to a covenant appurtenant that restricts the owner and each successive owner of the building or any residential unit contained in the building from selling or renting any residential unit described in subsection (1)(g)(A) of this section as housing that is not affordable to households with incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of the median family income for the county in which the real property is located for a period of 60 years from the date of the certificate of occupancy.

SECTION 9. ORS 197.178 is amended to read:

197.178. (1) Local governments with comprehensive plans or functional plans that are identified in ORS 197.296 (1) shall compile and report annually to the Department of Land Conservation and Development the following information for all applications received under ORS 227.175 for residential permits and residential zone changes:

(a) The **total number of complete applications received for residential development, [including the net residential density proposed in the application and the maximum allowed net residential density for the subject zone] and the number of applications approved;**

[(b) The number of applications approved, including the approved net density; and]

[(c) The date each application was received and the date it was approved or denied.]

(b) The total number of complete applications received for development of housing containing one or more housing units that are sold or rented below market rate as part of a local, state or federal housing assistance program, and the number of applications approved; and

(c) For each complete application received:

(A) The date the application was received;

(B) The date the application was approved or denied;

(C) The net residential density proposed in the application;

(D) The maximum allowed net residential density for the subject zone; and

(E) If approved, the approved net residential density.

(2) The report required by this section may be submitted electronically.

SECTION 10. ORS 215.427 is amended to read:

215.427. (1) Except as provided in subsections (3), (5) and (10) of this section, for land within an urban growth boundary and applications for mineral aggregate extraction, the governing body of a county or its designee shall take final action on an application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change, including resolution of all appeals under ORS 215.422, within 120 days after the application is deemed complete. The governing body of a county or its designee shall take final action on all other applications for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change, including resolution of all appeals under ORS 215.422, within 150 days after the application is deemed complete, except as provided in subsections (3), (5) and (10) of this section.

(2) If an application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change is incomplete, the governing body or its designee shall notify the applicant in writing of exactly what information is missing within 30 days of receipt of the application and allow the applicant to submit the missing information. The application shall be deemed complete for the purpose of subsection (1) of this section **and section 1 of this 2017 Act** upon receipt by the governing body or its designee of:

(a) All of the missing information;

(b) Some of the missing information and written notice from the applicant that no other information will be provided; or

(c) Written notice from the applicant that none of the missing information will be provided.

(3)(a) If the application was complete when first submitted or the applicant submits additional information, as described in subsection (2) of this section, within 180 days of the date the application was first submitted and the county has a comprehensive plan and land use regulations acknowledged under ORS 197.251, approval or denial of the application shall be based upon the standards and criteria that were applicable at the time the application was first submitted.

(b) If the application is for industrial or traded sector development of a site identified under section 12, chapter 800, Oregon Laws 2003, and proposes an amendment to the comprehensive plan, approval or denial of the application must be based upon the standards and criteria that were applicable at the time the application was first submitted, provided the application complies with paragraph (a) of this subsection.

(4) On the 181st day after first being submitted, the application is void if the applicant has been notified of the missing information as required under subsection (2) of this section and has not submitted:

(a) All of the missing information;

(b) Some of the missing information and written notice that no other information will be provided; or

(c) Written notice that none of the missing information will be provided.

(5) The period set in subsection (1) of this section **or the 100-day period set in section 1 of this 2017 Act** may be extended for a specified period of time at the written request of the applicant. The total of all extensions, except as provided in subsection (10) of this section for mediation, may not exceed 215 days.

(6) The period set in subsection (1) of this section applies:

(a) Only to decisions wholly within the authority and control of the governing body of the county; and

(b) Unless the parties have agreed to mediation as described in subsection (10) of this section or ORS 197.319 (2)(b).

(7) Notwithstanding subsection (6) of this section, the period set in subsection (1) of this section **and the 100-day period set in section 1 of this 2017 Act do** *[does]* not apply to a decision of the county making a change to an acknowledged comprehensive plan or a land use regulation that is submitted to the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development under ORS 197.610.

(8) Except when an applicant requests an extension under subsection (5) of this section, if the governing body of the county or its designee does not take final action on an application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change within 120 days or 150 days, as applicable, after the application is deemed complete, the county shall refund to the applicant either the unexpended portion of any application fees or deposits previously paid or 50 percent of the total amount of such fees or deposits, whichever is greater. The applicant is not liable for additional governmental fees incurred subsequent to the payment of such fees or deposits. However, the applicant is responsible for the costs of providing sufficient additional information to address relevant issues identified in the consideration of the application.

(9) A county may not compel an applicant to waive the period set in subsection (1) of this section or to waive the provisions of subsection (8) of this section or ORS 215.429 **or section 1 of this 2017 Act** as a condition for taking any action on an application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change except when such applications are filed concurrently and considered jointly with a plan amendment.

(10) The periods set forth in *[subsection (1)]* **subsections (1) and (5)** of this section **and section 1 of this 2017 Act** *[and the period set forth in subsection (5) of this section]* may be extended by up to 90 additional days, if the applicant and the county agree that a dispute concerning the application will be mediated.

SECTION 11. ORS 227.178 is amended to read:

227.178. (1) Except as provided in subsections (3), (5) and (11) of this section, the governing body of a city or its designee shall take final action on an application for a permit, limited land use de-

cision or zone change, including resolution of all appeals under ORS 227.180, within 120 days after the application is deemed complete.

(2) If an application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change is incomplete, the governing body or its designee shall notify the applicant in writing of exactly what information is missing within 30 days of receipt of the application and allow the applicant to submit the missing information. The application shall be deemed complete for the purpose of subsection (1) of this section **or section 1 of this 2017 Act** upon receipt by the governing body or its designee of:

(a) All of the missing information;

(b) Some of the missing information and written notice from the applicant that no other information will be provided; or

(c) Written notice from the applicant that none of the missing information will be provided.

(3)(a) If the application was complete when first submitted or the applicant submits the requested additional information within 180 days of the date the application was first submitted and the city has a comprehensive plan and land use regulations acknowledged under ORS 197.251, approval or denial of the application shall be based upon the standards and criteria that were applicable at the time the application was first submitted.

(b) If the application is for industrial or traded sector development of a site identified under section 12, chapter 800, Oregon Laws 2003, and proposes an amendment to the comprehensive plan, approval or denial of the application must be based upon the standards and criteria that were applicable at the time the application was first submitted, provided the application complies with paragraph (a) of this subsection.

(4) On the 181st day after first being submitted, the application is void if the applicant has been notified of the missing information as required under subsection (2) of this section and has not submitted:

(a) All of the missing information;

(b) Some of the missing information and written notice that no other information will be provided; or

(c) Written notice that none of the missing information will be provided.

(5) The 120-day period set in subsection (1) of this section **or the 100-day period set in section 1 of this 2017 Act** may be extended for a specified period of time at the written request of the applicant. The total of all extensions, except as provided in subsection (11) of this section for mediation, may not exceed 245 days.

(6) The 120-day period set in subsection (1) of this section applies:

(a) Only to decisions wholly within the authority and control of the governing body of the city; and

(b) Unless the parties have agreed to mediation as described in subsection (11) of this section or ORS 197.319 (2)(b).

(7) Notwithstanding subsection (6) of this section, the 120-day period set in subsection (1) of this section **and the 100-day period set in section 1 of this 2017 Act do** *[does]* not apply to a decision of the city making a change to an acknowledged comprehensive plan or a land use regulation that is submitted to the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development under ORS 197.610.

(8) Except when an applicant requests an extension under subsection (5) of this section, if the governing body of the city or its designee does not take final action on an application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change within 120 days after the application is deemed complete, the city shall refund to the applicant, subject to the provisions of subsection (9) of this section, either the unexpended portion of any application fees or deposits previously paid or 50 percent of the total amount of such fees or deposits, whichever is greater. The applicant is not liable for additional governmental fees incurred subsequent to the payment of such fees or deposits. However, the applicant is responsible for the costs of providing sufficient additional information to address relevant issues identified in the consideration of the application.

(9)(a) To obtain a refund under subsection (8) of this section, the applicant may either:

(A) Submit a written request for payment, either by mail or in person, to the city or its designee;
or

(B) Include the amount claimed in a mandamus petition filed under ORS 227.179. The court shall award an amount owed under this section in its final order on the petition.

(b) Within seven calendar days of receiving a request for a refund, the city or its designee shall determine the amount of any refund owed. Payment, or notice that no payment is due, shall be made to the applicant within 30 calendar days of receiving the request. Any amount due and not paid within 30 calendar days of receipt of the request shall be subject to interest charges at the rate of one percent per month, or a portion thereof.

(c) If payment due under paragraph (b) of this subsection is not paid within 120 days after the city or its designee receives the refund request, the applicant may file an action for recovery of the unpaid refund. In an action brought by a person under this paragraph, the court shall award to a prevailing applicant, in addition to the relief provided in this section, reasonable attorney fees and costs at trial and on appeal. If the city or its designee prevails, the court shall award reasonable attorney fees and costs at trial and on appeal if the court finds the petition to be frivolous.

(10) A city may not compel an applicant to waive the 120-day period set in subsection (1) of this section or to waive the provisions of subsection (8) of this section or ORS 227.179 **or section 1 of this 2017 Act** as a condition for taking any action on an application for a permit, limited land use decision or zone change except when such applications are filed concurrently and considered jointly with a plan amendment.

(11) The [*period*] **periods** set forth in [*subsection (1)*] **subsections (1) and (5)** of this section **and section 1 of this 2017 Act** [*and the period set forth in subsection (5) of this section*] may be extended by up to 90 additional days, if the applicant and the city agree that a dispute concerning the application will be mediated.

SECTION 12. The amendments to ORS 197.312, 215.416 and 227.175 by sections 2, 3 and 6 of this 2017 Act become operative on July 1, 2018.

SECTION 13. (1) Section 1 of this 2017 Act and the amendments to ORS 197.178, 197.303, 197.307, 215.427, 215.441, 227.178 and 227.500 by sections 4, 5 and 7 to 11 of this 2017 Act apply to permit applications submitted for review on or after the effective date of this 2017 Act.

(2) The amendments to ORS 215.416 and 227.175 by sections 2 and 3 of this 2017 Act apply to applications for housing development submitted for review on or after July 1, 2018.

(3) The amendments to ORS 197.312 by section 6 of this 2017 Act apply to permit applications for accessory dwelling units submitted for review on or after July 1, 2018.

SECTION 14. This 2017 Act being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this 2017 Act takes effect on its passage.

Passed by Senate April 19, 2017

Repassed by Senate July 7, 2017

.....
Lori L. Brocker, Secretary of Senate

.....
Peter Courtney, President of Senate

Passed by House July 6, 2017

.....
Tina Kotek, Speaker of House

Received by Governor:

.....M.,....., 2017

Approved:

.....M.,....., 2017

.....
Kate Brown, Governor

Filed in Office of Secretary of State:

.....M.,....., 2017

.....
Dennis Richardson, Secretary of State